

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,485	11/08/2001	Gilbert A. Amine	943883.0006	7195	
23309 7590 08/13/2004		EXAMINER			
BOOTH & WRIGHT LLP			TRAN, QUOC DUC		
P O BOX 50010 AUSTIN, TX 78763-0010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2643	01	
į,			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	<i>/</i> (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

			cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/00	5,485	AMINE, GILBERT	A.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			D Tran	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuse period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nication. days, a reply within the utory period will apply a ill, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be ti e statutory minimum of thirty (30) da nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 May 200	4.					
2a)□								
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance exc	ept for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	merits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-186 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-49 and 179-185 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 186 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 50-59,61-108 and 113-126 is/are rejected.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2002 is Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a)⊠ acce ion to the drawing he correction is re	(s) be held in abeyance. Se quired if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have ocuments have f the priority doc al Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicat uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National S	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group IV (claims 50-178 and 186) in the reply filed on May 27, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 50 and 117 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims contain acronyms that must be spelled out at least once. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 61-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 61 recites the limitation "both result...said analysis of adherence" in lines 6-8.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/005,485

Art Unit: 2643

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 50-59, 101-108 and 113-126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hardy (6,370,120).

Consider claim 50, Hardy teaches a system for measuring quality of voice services on modern telephony networks, including VON, PSTN, and hybrid VON/PSTN networks, said system comprising an analyzer that measures quality of service of a signal transmitted over the telephony network (abstract; col. 3 lines 25-50; col. 4 lines 2-6).

Consider claim 51, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a connector adapted to make a connection to said test point in the telephony network (col. 9 lines 40-49).

Consider claim 52, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer comprises a computer system (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Consider claim 53, Hardy teaches the system wherein said computer system is a personal computer system comprising hardware and software components (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Consider claim 54, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a configuration subsystem that configures said computer system to interface with said telephony network (col. 10 lines 17-64).

Consider claim 55, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a quality of service analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of said quality of service of said signal (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 56, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a report generator that reports a result of said analysis of said quality of service of said signal (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 57, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a communications protocol analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 58, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a report generator that reports a result of said analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Consider claim 59, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises: a quality of service analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of said quality of service of said signal; and a communications protocol analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 101, Hardy teaches a system for analyzing adherence to a communication protocol, said system comprising: a connector adapted to make a connection to a test point in the telephony network; and an analyzer that analyzes adherence to a communication protocol at said test point (abstract; col. 3 lines 25-50; col. 4 lines 2-6; col. 9 lines 40-49), said analyzer comprising: a computer system (col. 10 lines 1-9); a configuration subsystem that configures said computer system to interface with said telephony network (col. 10 lines 17-64); a communications protocol analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol; and a report generator that reports a result of said communication protocol analysis (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 102, Hardy teaches the system wherein said system is a personal computer system comprising hardware and software components (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,485

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 103, Hardy teaches wherein said connector is a handset connector that couples to a telephone handset to make said connection (col. 9 lines 40-49). It should be noted that the handset or telephone base is interpreted as a receiver.

Consider claim 104, Hardy teaches wherein said connector is a base connector that couples to a telephone base to make said connection (col. 9 lines 40-49). It should be noted that the handset or telephone base is interpreted as a receiver.

Consider claim 105, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a recorder that records signals transmitted by the telephony network at said test point (col. 9 lines 50-52).

Consider claim 106, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a reproduction unit that reproduces the recorded signal through the telephony network at said test point to permit an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Consider claim 107, Hardy teaches the system wherein said communication protocol analysis subsystem comprises a subsystem that tests an adherence to a communication protocol selected from an Internet protocol, a voice over Internet protocol, voice over frame relay, voice over asynchronous transfer mode, voice over digital subscriber line, and voice over cable (col. 4 lines 38-67).

Consider claim 108, Hardy teaches the system wherein said connector comprises a connector adapted to connect to a selected one of a telephone handset, a telephone base unit, a line card, an FXS port, and FXO port, an E&M port, a T1/E1/J1 digital trunk, an Ethernet port, audio in and out ports, or a router (col. 9 lines 40-49).

Consider claim 113, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a recording subsystem that records the analysis of the communication protocol (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,485

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 114, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a non-volatile memory that maintains a record of the analysis of the communication protocol (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Consider claim 115, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a reproduction subsystem that reproduces from a record the analysis of the communication protocol (col. 10 lines 17-26).

Consider claim 116, Hardy teaches the system wherein the computer system comprises a modeling subsystem that models mathematically the communication protocol used by a programmable telephone equipment, said modeling subsystem calculating an improvement in performance of said programmable telephone equipment in response to a change in a programmable characteristic of said telephone equipment (col. 11 lines 8-15).

Consider claim 117, Hardy teaches a system for measuring quality of voice services on modern telephony networks, including VON, PSTN, and hybrid VON/PSTN networks, and for analyzing adherence to a communication protocol, said system comprising an analyzer that measures quality of service of a signal transmitted over the telephony network and analyzes adherence to a communication protocol at a test point in the telephony network (abstract; col. 3 lines 25-50; col. 4 lines 2-6).

Consider claim 118, Hardy teaches the system further comprising a connector adapted to make a connection to said test point in the telephony network (col. 9 lines 40-49).

Consider claim 119, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer comprises a computer system (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Consider claim 120, Hardy teaches the system wherein said system is a personal computer system comprising hardware and software components (col. 10 lines 1-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,485 Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 121, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a configuration subsystem that configures said computer system to interface with said telephony network (col. 10 lines 17-64).

Consider claim 122, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a quality of service analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of said quality of service of said signal (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 123, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a report generator that reports a result of said analysis of said quality of service of said signal (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Consider claim 124, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a communications protocol analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Consider claim 125, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises a report generator that reports a result of said analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 10-16).

Consider claim 126, Hardy teaches the system wherein said analyzer further comprises: a quality of service analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of said quality of service of said signal; and a communications protocol analysis subsystem that performs an analysis of adherence to said communication protocol (col. 10 lines 1-16).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 186 is allowed.

Art Unit: 2643

8. Claims 60, 109-112 and 127-178 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(703)** 306-5643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 2643

August 6, 2004